



PRESS RELEASE

GLOBAL INDUSTRY COALITION REPORTS SEVERE WI-MAX INTERFERENCE IN SIDE-BY-SIDE TEST CONDUCTED WITH SATELLITE SYSTEMS

Incompatibility of Wi-Max & Satellite Services in Adjacent Bands Argues Against Proposal to Reallocate C-band Spectrum During World Radiocommunication Conference

October 19, 2007

BRUSSELS, Belgium – Testing conducted earlier this month has confirmed what a growing number of telecom service providers already suspected: That Wi-Max systems cause severe interference to satellite services when operated in an adjacent frequency band.

The test results, which were generated by an international coalition of the global satellite communications sector in co-ordination with the Wi-Max industry, were reported as delegations from every nation of the world prepare to travel to Geneva, Switzerland, for the International Telecommunication Union's (ITU's) World Radiocommunication Conference (WRC), where they will make crucial spectrum-allocation decisions.

In a joint statement issued by eight non-profit industry associations, the issue was described as having a major impact on every region: "Throughout the world, satellite services are being severely disrupted by interference from new Broadband Wireless Access (BWA) networks operating in the "extended" C-band frequencies. Further, there is an effort underway by the terrestrial-wireless industry for the ITU to replace satellite services with future mobile phone networks like IMT advanced and 4G services in 'standard' C-band frequencies."

Even as the market demands complementary satellite services for extending the reach of GSM, CDMA and, potentially, Wi-Max services, next-generation terrestrial-wireless interests will be attempting to persuade national delegations during WRC to co-locate Wi-Max and satellite services – a move that would result in unreasonable levels of interference to not only terrestrial-wireless backhaul services, but also mission-critical applications including broadcasting, emergency management, offshore communications, air traffic control, distance education, and much more.

The joint statement continued: "It is essential that the telecommunications sector come to a better understanding of the commercial and technological implications of this development. In particular, national administrations should recognize the potential for massive disruptions to C-band satellite communications, radar systems and domestic microwave links, if spectrum is inappropriately allocated to, and frequencies inappropriately assigned for, terrestrial wireless applications in the C-band (specifically 3.4 – 4.2 GHz).

Background for Editors:

The Test and Its Implications

The co-ordinated effort to confirm whether Wi-Max systems would cause severe interference to satellite systems operating in an adjacent frequency band began during an Emergency C-band Summit held in Washington, D.C. earlier this year. During discussions of the Wi-Max Forum, GVF, and the Satellite Users Interference Reduction Group (SUIRG) it was agreed that a side-by-side test would be conducted before WRC to inform national administrations' decision-making process.

Reports from operators and governments throughout the world support the most recent test results. The satellite systems that operate in the 3.4-4.2 GHz band (C-band) are suffering substantial interference, to the point of system failure, in places where national administrations are allowing Broadband Wireless Access systems like Wi-Max to share the same spectrum bands already being used to provide satellite services. The same will happen if 3G and the planned 4G mobile systems (also referred to as IMT systems) are allowed to use the frequencies used in the C-band for satellite downlink services as is being contemplated by some administrations in the context of WRC-07 agenda item 1.4.

To eliminate this harmful interference, operators of satellite earth stations and users of satellite communications services have united to communicate their positions and technical requirements to national and international telecommunications regulators. Regulators and radio frequency managers need to allocate spectrum in ways that recognize the reality of harmful interference and validate the right of incumbent operators to operate, and their customers to enjoy their services, without disruption by new users.

C-band satellite, and the Broadband Wireless Access (BWA) and IMT mobile services are all important services, and there are ways to find suitable spectrum for all of them to operate.

The problem:

Several national administrations have designated portions of the frequency band 3.4 – 4.2 GHz for terrestrial wireless applications such as BWA and future mobile services (“IMT advanced”, Beyond 3G, 4G). This band is already in use by satellite services, radar systems, and domestic microwave links. This band is commonly referred to as the C-band.

In places where administrations have allowed BWA services to use the C-band, there have been massive interruptions of satellite services. Interference with radars and microwave links, which also operate in these frequencies, is likely. Satellite operations in places including Australia, Bolivia, Fiji, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Pakistan and sub-Saharan Africa have already been negatively affected. Other national administrations can and should avoid repeating this costly mistake. Alternative approaches are available.



Importance of the C-band:

Use of the C-band for satellite communications is widespread throughout the world. It is particularly vital for many developing countries, particularly in South and Central America, Asia, and equatorial Africa because of its resilience in the presence of heavy rain. C-band earth stations are also used extensively in many developed countries. C-band (“Standard C-Band” and “Extended C band”¹) frequencies have been assigned for satellite downlinks since the industry was inaugurated more than 40 years ago.

C-band services cover large areas. They facilitate intercontinental and global communications, and provide a wide range of services in developing countries. Services in this band now provide critical applications such as distance learning, telemedicine, universal access, disaster recovery and television transmission in many tropical regions.

Technical explanation:

Antennas which receive satellite downlink signals in the C band are by necessity extremely sensitive devices. They are designed to receive a low-power signal emitted by small transmitters located in orbit 36,000 kilometers above the equator. In the C band, satellite services have co-existed with domestic microwave links and radars for many years, because the latter systems operate via tightly focused beams from fixed points, and de-confliction can take place when necessary.

By contrast, terrestrial wireless applications are by definition ubiquitous and increasingly mobile/nomadic. Mobile and base stations for terrestrial wireless applications emit signals from many locations, in all directions, simultaneously that are powerful enough to saturate the sensitive C band satellite receiving systems, causing a potential for total loss of service in the C band. Recent operating experience in Australia, Fiji and Indonesia, and field trials in Hong Kong have confirmed this interference. (In the Hong Kong experiments, television signals feeding 300,000,000 households throughout Asia were inadvertently knocked off the air!)

The sensitivity of C band satellite receiving systems also means that they may be disrupted by mobile terrestrial use of frequencies in immediately adjacent bands. Field tests by the Office of the Telecommunications Authority in Hong Kong concluded that use of frequencies for terrestrial wireless services in the Extended C and Standard C bands was not practical.

A Particular Problem for Developing Countries:

C-band services are especially important for developing countries. The supporting equipment is relatively inexpensive and the signals easily cover large areas. Such services are well adapted to provide voice, data services and internet connectivity in remote areas underserved by other communications means. They are an essential component in the ITU’s push to bridge the “digital divide” between the developed and

¹ The bands 3.4-3.7 GHz and 3.7-4.2 GHz are usually referred to as Extended C-Band and Standard C-Band, respectively.



developing world. Because they cover wide areas with minimal susceptibility to rain fade, they have proven to be exceptionally useful for disaster recovery in tropical areas – for example, C- band based services were vital in facilitating clean up and recovery after the 2004 Asian tsunami disaster. Other growing applications in developing-country use include distance learning and telemedicine.

Growing recognition of the problem:

It was thought by governments which assigned broadband wireless frequencies in the extended C-band that the problem could be limited by frequency segmentation. This has proven to be ineffective in real-world tests. Large-scale disruptions of services operating in non-overlapping frequency bands have taken place in several countries, and as a result, governments, intergovernmental bodies, and the satellite industry – particularly in Asia, which is most dependent on these frequencies -- have begun to recognize the threat that ill-considered assignment of standard C-band and extended C-band frequencies to terrestrial wireless services poses.

- Even in the case where BWA and satellite earth stations operate on different frequencies in the same portion of the C band, geographic separation is necessary. The Hong Kong Telecommunications Authority Working Group conducted an extensive series of field tests, concluding that “BWA equipment within an area of several kilometers around existing licensed earth station operating in the same frequencies may cause interference to the latter...protection by separation distance is only meaningful for fixed access but not for mobile access....Based on the assessment in this paper, there are interference problems caused by the proposed allocation of BWA in the 3.4 – 3.6 GHz band to the reception of satellite signals by FSS systems in the 3.4 – 4.2 GHz band. For the coexistence of the two services in the same territory, some technical constraints must be observed. The technical constraints would imply significant costs to be incurred by both BWA operators and FSS users and they may make it difficult for a wide and cost-effective deployment of BWA systems in a dense urban environment.”
- In South America, the Bolivian Superintendencia de Comunicaciones (SITTEL) approved the usage of the 3.4 to 3.8 GHz band for telecommunication as the primary allocation for usage for the WiFi industry. During the short testing period prior to the planned May 2006 roll-out, satellite signals carrying television channels in Bolivia were severely interrupted and major interference was reported. Viewers were missing World Cup games. SITTEL issued an administrative resolution mandating that wireless access system deployments in the 3.7-3.8 GHz band be suspended in the entire territory of Bolivia for a period of 90 days, so that SITTEL could adopt measures to solve this matter. The resolution also instructs the spectrum planning department of SITTEL to propose a new norm for channels in the 3.4-3.8 GHz band. More recently, SITTEL has indicated that it intends to accommodate the BWA operators in the band 3.4-3.5 GHz and had initiated the required procedures to finalize such arrangement.
- The Asia-Pacific Telecommunity (APT – a regional intergovernmental organization) in a report from the APT Wireless Forum (AWF) has warned “... BWA systems within **several kilometers** of an FSS receive earth station operating in the same frequency band, but on a non-co-channel basis, would need



to carefully conduct coordination on a case-by-case basis. Moreover, to avoid interference in non-overlapping frequency bands...a minimum separation distance of **2 km** needs to be ensured with respect to all FSS receivers, even where BWA and FSS operate on different non-overlapping frequencies. This distance can be reduced to about 0.5 km if an LNB bandpass filter is fitted at all FSS receivers, the BWA base station has additional filtering of spurious emissions and outdoor BWA user terminals are prohibited. The effectiveness of any mitigation technique is dependent on its application to individual site situations and can be applied only when FSS earth stations are confined to a limited number of specific known locations.

- In Europe, CEPT has prepared a new ECC Report on Compatibility Studies In The Band 3400-3800 MHz Between Broadband Wireless Access (BWA) Systems And Other Services (Draft ECC Report 100). The studies have shown that to meet all relevant interference criteria, for a representative FSS earth station, the maximum distances required for BWA central stations are between 270 km and 320 km. These distances are referred to as “mitigation distances” in the report, to indicate that smaller distances may be achievable through coordination of each BWA central station. However, even with coordination it is clear that the necessary separation distances are at least tens of kilometres and may be hundreds of kilometres. The feasibility of the use of mitigation techniques by BWA systems to reduce the separation distances has not been demonstrated.
- The Asia-Pacific Broadcasting Union (ABU -- a regional organization grouping government and non-government entities) has warned that “BWA is a promising technology. However, if implemented in the same frequency bands as the satellite downlinks, it will have an adverse impact....and may make satellite operation in the entire C band impracticable. These bands are by far the most important frequency bands for satellite communication in Asia.”
- Sharing studies conducted by ITU-R Working Party 8F have shown that a minimum distance separation of approximately 35 to 75 kilometers must be maintained between an IMT transmitter (a 4G mobile system) and an FSS receiver. There is no practical way to maintain such large separations between these two systems. Moreover, given the large number of FSS receive stations currently receiving in the 3.4 – 4.2 GHz, it is highly unlikely that the requisite separation can be maintained with respect to all of these stations.

It is important to understand that satellite transmissions in the 3.4 – 4.2 GHz band are received by large number of stations worldwide. Many of these stations are “receive only”, and are therefore not registered at the ITU (or generally even with the local administrations) since such registration is not required. Co-frequency operation of BWA systems would severely disrupt reception of satellite transmissions.

Alternatives to C-band:

Fortunately, this is not an insoluble problem. Many other candidate bands have been identified during the course of ITU studies. The merits of these have been documented at length and the alternatives will be presented to the ITU WRC-07 in the form of the report from the WRC Conference Preparatory Meeting that convened in February 2007.



It is critical that governments and spectrum management authorities recognize the very real damage caused, and tremendous threat posed, to satellite services by use of the Standard C and Extended C-bands for terrestrial wireless systems.

A real effort to use alternatives must begin immediately.

Adherents:

This press release has been prepared by the Cable and Satellite Broadcasting Association of Asia (CASBAA), the Global VSAT Forum (GVF), the Asia Pacific Satellite Communications Council (APSCC), the Satellite Users Interference Reduction Group (SUIRG), the European Satellite Operators' Association (ESOA), European Satellite Action Plan Regulatory Group (SAP-REG), (U.S.) Satellite Industry Association (SIA), World Teleport Association (WTA), and other international associations of the satellite industry.

For More Information Visit: www.no-change.info

